

HECKE OPERATORS FOR MAASS WAVEFORMS ON $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ WITH INTEGER WEIGHT AND ETA MULTIPLIER.

FREDRIK STRÖMBERG

ABSTRACT. We construct Hecke operators acting on Maass waveforms of integer non-zero weight and transforming according to a non-trivial multiplier system on the modular group. Using these Hecke operators we obtain multiplicativity relations for the Fourier coefficients of such Maass waveforms. These relations generalize the usual weight zero relations. We also obtain an unexpected relation between coefficients with positive and negative indices with a constant of proportionality involving the Laplace eigenvalue. Numerical examples of multiplicativity relations are given at the end of the paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of modular forms Hecke operators are extremely useful in order to obtain certain arithmetical information about the objects of interest. The most common application is to derive multiplicativity relations between Fourier coefficients of modular forms thus demonstrating the existence of Euler product expansions.

Introduced by Hecke [7] and subsequently developed by e.g. Shimura [18], the theory of Hecke operators, T_n , has been developed in great detail. Foremost this was done in the setting of the modular group, integer weight and the multiplier being trivial or a Dirichlet character. For congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(m)$ the theory was worked out by Atkin and Lehner [2]. In these cases Hecke operators T_p are defined for all primes and extended to all positive integers by multiplicativity. On $\Gamma_0(4)$ Shimura [19] showed that there only exist Hecke operators T_{p^2} for $p \geq 2$, acting on modular forms of half integer weight and transforming according to the theta multiplier system. For more introductory texts see for example [1, 4, 6, 11].

Another approach to Hecke operators acting on spaces of modular functions with a general multiplier system and weight was initiated by Wohlfahrt [22] and subsequently developed further and applied by van Lint [21]. In this paper we will see how these operators can be used to derive new multiplicativity relations for Fourier coefficients of Maass waveforms with integer weights and eta multiplier system.

2. BASIC CONCEPTS AND NOTATION

Although most of our definitions would work also for subgroups, we will restrict ourselves to the modular group, $\Gamma = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \approx \{\pm I\} \backslash \bar{\Gamma}$, where $\bar{\Gamma} = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the group of two-by-two matrices with integer entries and determinant one and I is its identity element. The hyperbolic upper half-plane is defined as

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F25; Secondary 11F03, 11F11.

Key words and phrases. Hecke operators, Modular forms, Multiplier systems.

$\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im z > 0\}$ endowed with the metric $ds = \frac{|dz|}{y}$. Γ is known to act as the group of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathcal{H}$ and the action of Γ on functions $f(z)$ defined on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by the so called the slash action $g : f(z) \mapsto f|_g(z) = f(gz)$, where $gz = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we always consider the principal branch of the argument, $\text{Arg} z \in]-\pi, \pi]$ and set $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. The greatest common divisor symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is extended to 0 by $(a, 0) = a$ for all integers a .

If k is an integer we know from the theory of holomorphic modular forms (e.g. [6] or [16]) that there is a weight- k action of $\bar{\Gamma}$ on holomorphic functions $F(z)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ given by $g : F(z) \mapsto F|_{\{k, g\}}(z) = (cz+d)^{-k} F(gz)$ for $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\Gamma}$. We are interested in non-holomorphic modular forms, Maass waveforms, which are eigenfunctions of the weight k Laplacian:

$$\Delta_k = \Delta - iky \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) - iky \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Δ_k can be derived by separation of variables from the Laplace-Beltrami operator corresponding to a certain metric on $\mathcal{H} \times S^1$, cf. e.g. Selberg [17, pp. 81-83] or Maass [12, pp. 174-175]. If χ is any (unitary) representation of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and F is a holomorphic modular form satisfying $F|_{\{k, g\}}(z) = \chi(g) F(z)$ for all $g \in \bar{\Gamma}$ the function $f(z) = (\Im z)^{\frac{k}{2}} F(z)$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_k and satisfies

$$f|_{[k, g]}(z) = \chi(g) f(z), \text{ for } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\Gamma},$$

where $f|_{[k, g]}(z) = j_g(z; k)^{-1} f(gz)$, and $j_g(z; k) = e^{ik \text{Arg}(cz+d)}$. For any real number k , a multiplier system of weight k on $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a function $v : \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow S^1$ such that $v(-I) = e^{-\pi i k}$ and $v(AB) = j_A(Bz; k) j_B(z; k) j_{AB}(z; k)^{-1} v(A) v(B)$ for all $A, B \in \bar{\Gamma}$. If k is integer then clearly $v(AB) = v(A) v(B)$.

An example of a multiplier system of weight k is v_η^{2k} , where v_η is the so called eta multiplier system given by the Dedekind eta function $\eta(z) = e\left(\frac{z}{24}\right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e(nz))$. One can show that

$$\eta|_{[k, g]}(z) = v_\eta(g) \eta(z), \text{ } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\Gamma},$$

where $v_\eta(g)$ is a 24-th root of unity given explicitly either in terms of a Dedekind sum [1, thm 3.4] or a quadratic residue symbol [10, p. 51]. For our purposes we use the latter approach resulting in the following formula, valid for positive odd c and integer k :

$$(1) \quad v_\eta^{2k} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = e \left(\frac{k}{12} [(a+d)c - bd(c^2 - 1) - 3c] \right), \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\Gamma}.$$

To distinguish between different multiplier systems, in particular between v and $\bar{v}$ the following Lemma from [21, p. 11] is useful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let v be a multiplier system of weight k on $\bar{\Gamma}$. Then there exists a unique $\xi \in S^1$ and a function $w : \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ independent of k such that for each $A \in \bar{\Gamma}$*

$$v(A) = \xi^{w(A)}.$$

Furthermore ξ is given by $\xi = v(T)$ where $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and can be written as $\xi = \xi_6 e^{\frac{\pi i k}{6}}$ for a unique 6-th root of unity, ξ_6 . Thus there exist exactly 6 different multiplier systems (of any real weight k) on Γ (cf. also [16, p. 83]).

Definition 2.2. We introduce the notation $\chi_k(d) = i^{-k(1-d)} = i^{k(d-1)}$, which agrees with the quadratic residue symbol $\left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)^k$ if d is odd. Note that χ_k is only a character on odd integers but if $m = ad$ with $km \equiv \pm k \pmod{12}$ then either k is even or m is odd hence $\chi_k(d)$ is always real.

2.1. Maass waveforms. In this paper we fix an integer weight $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ (without loss of generality $1 \leq k \leq 11$) and a multiplier system $v = v_\eta^{2k}$ given by $\xi = v(T) = e^{\frac{\pi i k}{6}} = e(\alpha)$, i.e. $\alpha = \frac{k}{12}$. Note that $\xi^n = 1$ if $nk \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Set $D = \frac{k}{(12, k)}$.

A Maass waveform on Γ of weight k and multiplier system v is a function $f(z)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $f|_{[k, A]} = v(A)f(z)$ for all $A \in \overline{\Gamma}$, $(\Delta_k + \lambda)f = 0$ with $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} + R^2 \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) < \infty$ where $\mu(x + iy) = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$ is the hyperbolic area measure. Such f is automatically cuspidal, i.e. $f(z)$ vanishes at $i\infty$ and to stress this some authors use the name Maass cusp form instead of Maass waveform. For simplicity we only consider $\lambda > \frac{1}{4}$, i.e. $R \in \mathbb{R}$. The space of all such Maass waveforms with these parameters is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ and the space of all Maass waveforms with multiplier v and weight k and any spectral parameter is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k)$. To simplify certain Fourier expansions later we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{n, k, R}(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n + \alpha_k|}} W_{\frac{k}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(n + \alpha_k), iR}(4\pi |n + \alpha_k| y) e((n + \alpha_k)x), \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{W}_{n, k, R}^*(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n - \alpha_k|}} W_{\frac{k}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(n - \alpha_k), iR}(4\pi |n - \alpha_k| y) e((n - \alpha_k)x), \end{aligned}$$

for $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$, where $W_{l, \mu}(y)$ denotes W-Whittaker function in standard notation (cf. e.g. [5, vol. I, p. 264]) and $\alpha_k = \frac{k}{12}$. It is known (cf. e.g. [8, ch. 9]) that any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ has a Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n, k, R}(z)$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. *There exist a basis of $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ consisting of Maass wave forms f with Fourier expansions at infinity*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n, k, R}(z)$$

where the coefficients $c(n)$ satisfy the following multiplicativity relations if $c(0) \neq 0$. For positive integers m, n with $12m \equiv 12n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ set $m_1 = \frac{12m}{k}$ and $n_1 = \frac{12n}{k}$. If $(m_1 + 1, D) = (n_1 + 1, D) = 1$ then

$$(2) \quad c(m)c(n) = c(0) \sum_{0 < d | (m_1 + 1, n_1 + 1)} \chi_k(d) c\left(\frac{k}{12} \left(\frac{(m_1 + 1)(n_1 + 1)}{d^2} - 1\right)\right),$$

whereas if $(m_1 - 1, D) = (n_1 - 1, D) = 1$ then

$$(3) \quad c(-m)c(-n) = \Lambda_{k, R} c(0) \sum_{0 < d | (m_1 - 1, n_1 - 1)} \chi_k(d) c\left(\frac{k}{12} \left(\frac{(m_1 - 1)(n_1 - 1)}{d^2} - 1\right)\right),$$

where

$$(4) \quad \Lambda_{k,R} = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^l (j(j-1) + \frac{1}{4} + R^2)^2, & k = 2l, \\ -R^2 \prod_{j=1}^l (j^2 + R^2)^2, & k = 2l + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove this theorem in the following sections by constructing appropriate self-adjoint Hecke (and Hecke-like) operators acting on $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ satisfying certain multiplicativity relations. $\square$

Remark 3.2. If $k|12$ then (2) and (3) hold for all positive integers m and n .

Remark 3.3. The condition $c(0) \neq 0$ is required to obtain this particular form of relations (2) and (3). If $c(n_0) \neq 0$ for some n_0 we get a similar set of relations since the multiplicativity of the Hecke eigenvalues, e.g. (9) and (12) will still hold while the explicit formulas (7) and (11) have to be changed accordingly. If $(n_0, D) = 1$ the relations will even be as simple and if $k|12$ it is also easy to show that if f is a simultaneous Hecke eigenform then $c(0) \neq 0$ unless $f \equiv 0$. For the rest of the paper we assume for simplicity that $c(0) \neq 0$.

Explicit examples of multiplicativity relations are given in the last section.

4. HECKE OPERATORS AND MULTIPLIER SYSTEMS

The standard way to view Hecke operators today is via the action of double cosets, cf. e.g. Shimura [18] or Miyake [14]. It is possible to describe precise conditions for the existence of Hecke operators defined by actions of double cosets also in the setting of general multipliers and weights, cf. [20, §2.4.6]. One problem that arises in the general setting is to make a consistent definition of the operators $T(p, p)$ (in the terminology of [18] and [14]).

In part because of this difficulty we instead use a construction based on the ideas of Wohlfahrt [22] (also van Lint [21]) which is more in the spirit of Hecke [7]. The key point in this construction is to find the specific linear combination of weight k slash actions by elements of a complete set of Γ inequivalent matrices of determinant n . The Hecke operators of Wohlfahrt differs slightly from the standard construction for non-square free integers.

In this section we will encounter two different types of Hecke operators $T_{m,k}^v$ which have different properties depending on whether $km \equiv \pm k \pmod{12}$. In the following section we construct a kind of “Hecke-like” operator, $\Theta_{m,k}^v$, which consists of a Hecke operator $T_{m,k}^v$ composed with another operator Θ . These Hecke-like operators are crucial in obtaining the new kind of multiplicativity relations (3).

Proposition 4.1. *Let m be a positive integer satisfying $km \equiv k \pmod{12}$. We can then define a Hecke operator $T_{m,k}^v : \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ by*

$$(5) \quad T_{m,k}^v f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{ad=n, d>0} \chi_k(d) \sum_{b \pmod{d}} \xi^{-bd} f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right)$$

and if $f(z)$ has Fourier coefficients $c(n)$ then $T_{m,k}^v f(z)$ has Fourier coefficients $d(n)$ where

$$(6) \quad d(n) = \sum_{0 < d | (m, n - k \frac{m-1}{12})} \chi_k(d) c\left(\frac{nm}{d^2} + \frac{k(m-d^2)}{12d^2}\right).$$

If $T_{m,k}^v f = \lambda_{m,k} f$ and $c(0) \neq 0$ then

$$(7) \quad \lambda_{n,k} = \frac{1}{c(0)} \begin{cases} c\left(k \frac{(n-1)}{12}\right), & (D, n) = 1, \text{ and} \\ c\left(k \frac{(n-1)}{12}\right) + \chi_k(D) c\left(k \frac{n-D^2}{12D^2}\right), & (D, n) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the construction of Wohlfahrt [22]. Let $Q = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\beta_{a,b,d} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ and define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_m &= \{\beta_{a,b,d}; ad = m, b \bmod d, (a, b, d) = 1\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{R}_m^* &= \{\beta_{a,b,d}; ad = m, b \bmod d\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known (cf. e.g. [22, p. 244], [14, p. 142] or [11, p. 167]) that both $\mathcal{R}_m$ and $\mathcal{R}_m^*$ are complete sets of left Γ -inequivalent matrices in $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with determinant n . The Wohlfahrt operator $T\langle Q \rangle$ given by

$$(8) \quad T\langle Q \rangle f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}_m} \overline{v_Q(\beta)} f_{|[k, \beta]}(z),$$

where $v_Q(\beta) = v_1(A)v_2(B)$ for $\beta = AQB \in \mathcal{R}_m$ is shown to map the space $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v_1, k, R)$ into $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v_2, k, R)$. In our case, with Q as above $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = v^m = v$ since $mk \equiv k \bmod 12$. To compute the numbers $v_Q(\beta)$ explicitly we use the explicit formula (1) together with $v(-A) = e^{-\pi i k} v(A)$ valid for all $A \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and the decomposition $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & du \end{pmatrix} Q \begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$, $ub + va = 1$. We now see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_Q(\beta_{a,b,d}) &= v\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & du \end{pmatrix}\right) v\left(\begin{pmatrix} u & -v \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \xi^{bd} \xi^{3(1-d)} = \xi^{bd} i^{k(1-d)} = \xi^{bd} \chi_k(d), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_k(d) = \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)^k$ for odd d and is in our cases always real since either k is even or d is odd. From (5) we see that $T_{m,k}^v$ is defined by a similar sum but instead over $\mathcal{R}_m^*$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_m^* \setminus \mathcal{R}_m &= \{\beta_{la', lb', ld'} = \begin{pmatrix} a'l & b'l \\ 0 & d'l \end{pmatrix}; l^2 | m, b' \bmod d'\} \\ &= \cup_{l^2 | m} \mathcal{R}_{\frac{m}{l^2}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$T_{m,k}^v f(z) = T\langle Q \rangle f + \sum_{l^2 | m} \frac{1}{l} \chi_k(l) T_{\frac{m}{l^2}, k}^v f.$$

Using induction on the number of prime factors of m together with the properties of $T\langle Q \rangle$ we see that $T_{n,k}^v$ is indeed a map from $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$. The Fourier coefficients are easily obtained by direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,k}^v f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{ad=m, d>0} \chi_k(d) \sum_{b \bmod d} \xi^{-bd} \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{ad=m, d>0} \chi_k(d) \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}\left(\frac{az}{d}\right) \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} e\left(\frac{b}{12} \left[-dk + \left(n + \frac{k}{12}\right) \frac{1}{d}\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

and using the Gauss sum formula

$$\sum_{b \bmod d} e\left(\frac{b}{12d} [12n + k - bd^2k]\right) = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } n + \frac{k}{12} = d\left(l + \frac{bdk}{12}\right), l \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{m,k}^v f(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{ad=m} \chi_k(d) \sqrt{d} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} c\left(dl + \frac{k(d^2-1)}{12}\right) \mathcal{W}_{al+\frac{k(m-1)}{12},k,R}(z) \\
&= \sum_{ad=m} \chi_k(d) \sum_{n \equiv \frac{k(m-1)}{12} \pmod{a}} c\left(\frac{mn}{a^2} + \frac{k(m-a^2)}{12a^2}\right) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z) \\
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{0 < a | (m, n - \frac{k(m-1)}{12})} \chi_k(a) c\left(\frac{mn}{a^2} + \frac{k(m-a^2)}{12a^2}\right) \right\} \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z).
\end{aligned}$$

The formula for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{m,k}$ are obtained by comparing the coefficients $c(0)$ and $d(0)$ in (6) and observing that $\left(m, \frac{k(m-1)}{12}\right) = \left(m, \frac{k}{(12,k)}\right) = (m, D)$. The multiplicativity relation follows from Lemma 4.7 below. $\square$

Remark 4.2. In our case, if $km \equiv \pm k \pmod{12}$ and $(m, D) > 1$ it can be shown that $m \equiv \pm D^2 \pmod{\frac{12D}{(12,k)}}$.

Remark 4.3. By changing the action $f_{[k,\beta]}$ to the holomorphic weight k action, $F_{\{k,\beta\}}$, the Hecke operators $T_{m,k}^v$ for $km \equiv k \pmod{12}$ can also be defined for holomorphic modular forms of weight k and multiplier system v .

Proposition 4.4. *If m is a positive integer and $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ we define two different Hecke operators*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{m,k}^v &: \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k, R), \text{ and} \\
T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}} &: \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k, R) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R).
\end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k, R)$ have Fourier expansions $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z)$ and $g(z) = \sum_n a(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}^(z)$ respectively then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{m,k}^v f(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} d(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}^*(z), \text{ and} \\
T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}} g(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d(n) &= \sum_{0 < a | (m, n - \frac{k(m+1)}{12})} \chi_k(a) c\left(\frac{mn}{a^2} - \frac{k(m+a^2)}{12a^2}\right), \text{ and} \\
b(n) &= \sum_{0 < a | (m, n + \frac{k(m+1)}{12})} \chi_k(a) c\left(\frac{mn}{a^2} + \frac{k(m+a^2)}{12a^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The construction of these two operators are exactly as above with the exception that now in (8) we have $v_Q(AQB) = v_1(A) v_2(B)$ with $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = v^m = \bar{v}$ for $T_{m,k}^v$ and $v_1 = \bar{v}$ and $v_2 = \bar{v}^m = v$ for $T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}}$. $T_{m,k}^v$ is then given by the same formula as (5), while $T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}}$ is given by the same formula with ξ interchanged with $\bar{\xi} = \xi^{-1}$. $\square$

Remark 4.5. Note that $T_{1,k}^v$ can always be defined as the identity operator. But in the case $k = 6$, i.e. $k \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ it can also be defined as in Proposition 4.4, still acting as the identity but shifting the summation in the Fourier series ($n \rightarrow n - 1$).

Remark 4.6. Observe that the difference between the sets $\mathcal{R}_m$ and the sets $\mathcal{R}_m^*$ we are actually summing over in $T_{m,k}^v$ consist of certain “trivial” elements of lower order. In the case $m = p^2$ the trivial element is $\beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ which acts as the identity in $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. When the multiplier is trivial or a Dirichlet character χ this element acts as multiplication by the scalar $\chi(p)$ (in the notation of [14, 18] this operator is denoted by $T(p, p)$).

The question of including or excluding these lower order elements is precisely what distinguishes the classical Hecke operators for integral weights and characters or half integral weights and the theta multiplier system on one hand and the general Wohlfahrt operators on the other hand. Cf. e.g. [19] and also [11, p. 206] where the difference is mentioned.

In practice, the exclusion of the trivial elements results in more intricate multiplicativity relations. Cf. e.g. [22, §9]. In relation with this discussion it should also be mentioned that the “Hecke like” operators of [9, 13] (acting on period functions) correspond precisely to the exclusion of β_0 in the definition of the Hecke operator for p^2 (compare the set X_n^* in [13, p. 143] with the set $\mathcal{R}_n$).

We are now in a position to start obtaining the multiplicativity relations. The following Proposition is crucial.

Proposition 4.7. *For any positive integers n, m , $kn, km \equiv \pm k \pmod{12}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m,k}^v T_{n,k}^v &= \sum_{0 < d | (m,n)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{mn}{d^2},k}^v, \quad kn \equiv k \pmod{12}, \\ T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}} T_{n,k}^v &= \sum_{0 < d | (m,n)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{mn}{d^2},k}^v, \quad kn \equiv -k \pmod{12}. \end{aligned}$$

The following three lemmas are easy to verify directly by using the definitions and comparing both sides of the equalities.

Lemma 4.8. *For any primes $p_1 \neq p_2, q_1 \neq q_2 \geq 3$, $kp_j \equiv -kq_i \equiv k \pmod{12}$ the following commutation relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p_1,k}^v T_{p_2,k}^v &= T_{p_2,k}^v T_{p_1,k}^v = T_{p_1 p_2, k}^v, \\ T_{q_1,k}^{\bar{v}} T_{q_2,k}^v &= T_{q_2,k}^{\bar{v}} T_{q_1,k}^v = T_{q_1 q_2, k}^v. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for primes $p, q \geq 3$, $kp \equiv -kq \equiv k \pmod{12}$ we have

$$T_{q,k}^v T_{p,k}^v = T_{p,k}^{\bar{v}} T_{q,k}^v = T_{pq,k}^v.$$

Lemma 4.9. *For any pair of primes $p, q \geq 3$ with $kp \equiv -kq \equiv k \pmod{12}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p^r,k}^v T_{p,k}^v &= T_{p^{r+1},k}^v + \chi_k(p) T_{p^{r-1},k}^v, \quad r \geq 1, \\ T_{q^r,k}^{\bar{v}} T_{q,k}^v &= T_{q^{r+1},k}^v + \chi_k(q) T_{q^{r-1},k}^v, \quad r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By induction one then deduce the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *For any primes $p, q \geq 2$ and pair of integers $s, r \geq 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p^r, k}^v T_{p^s, k}^v &= \sum_{d|(p^r, p^s)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{p^{s+r}}{d^2}, k}^v, \text{ if } kp^r \equiv kp^s \equiv k \pmod{12}, \\ T_{q^r, k}^{\bar{v}} T_{q^s, k}^v &= \sum_{d|(q^r, q^s)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{q^{s+r}}{d^2}, k}^v, \text{ if } kq^r \equiv kq^s \equiv -k \pmod{12}, s \text{ odd}, \\ T_{q^r, k}^v T_{q^s, k}^v &= \sum_{d|(q^r, q^s)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{q^{s+r}}{d^2}, k}^v, \text{ if } kq^r \equiv kq^s \equiv -k \pmod{12}, s \text{ even}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.7. The proposition now follows by induction from Lemmas 4.8-4.10. $\square$

Lemma 4.11. *If $(m, n) = 1$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{mn, k}^v &= T_{m, k}^v T_{n, k}^v, km \equiv kn \equiv k \pmod{12}, \\ T_{mn, k}^v &= T_{m, k}^{\bar{v}} T_{n, k}^v, km \equiv kn \equiv -k \pmod{12}, \\ T_{mn, k}^v &= T_{m, k}^{\bar{v}} T_{n, k}^v = T_{n, k}^v T_{m, k}^v, km \equiv -kn \equiv k \pmod{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Together with the definitions, use the relation $\beta_{a_1, b_1, d_1} \beta_{a_2, b_2, d_2} = \beta_{a, b, d}$ with $a = a_1 a_2$, $d = d_1 d_2$ and $b = a_1 b_2 + d_2 b_1$ and observe that b runs through a complete set of residues modulo d as b_1 and b_2 runs through residues of d_1 and d_2 respectively. $\square$

It is clear that as usual the Hecke operators $T_{p, k}^v$ with p prime generate the complete algebra and that the Hecke eigenvalues satisfy the desired multiplicativity relations.

Proposition 4.12. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ is an eigenfunction of all $T_{m, k}^v$, $km \equiv k \pmod{12}$ with eigenvalues λ_m then the following multiplicativity relation holds for all positive integers m, n , $km \equiv kn \equiv k \pmod{12}$*

$$(9) \quad \lambda_m \lambda_n = \sum_{d|(m, n)} \chi_k(d) \lambda_{\frac{mn}{d^2}}.$$

Furthermore, if $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n, k, R}(z)$ and if $(m, D) = (n, D) = 1$ then

$$c\left(k \frac{m-1}{12}\right) c\left(k \frac{n-1}{12}\right) = c(0) \sum_{d|(m, n)} \chi_k(d) c\left(k \frac{mn-d^2}{12d^2}\right).$$

From the last lemma we obtain the relation (2) of Theorem 3.1. In Section 4.1 we will obtain multiplicativity relations for the negative coefficients by introducing an auxiliary operator Θ which composed with $T_{q, k}^v$ preserves the multiplier system.

Definition 4.13. For any pair of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k)$ or $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k)$ we define the *Petersson inner product* (cf. [15]) by

$$(f, g) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^{k-2} dx dy.$$

Lemma 4.14. *Let n and m be positive integers with $kn \equiv -km \equiv k \pmod{12}$ and let $T_{n, k}^v$, $T_{m, k}^v$ and $T_{m, k}^{\bar{v}}$ be the Hecke operators defined in Propositions 4.1 and 4.4. Let*

$T_{n,k}^{v*}$, $T_{m,k}^{v*}$ and $T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}*}$ be the respective adjoint operators with respect to the Petersson inner product. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,k}^{v*} &= T_{n,k}^v, \\ T_{m,k}^{v*} &= (-1)^k T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}}, \quad \text{and} \\ T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}*} &= (-1)^k T_{m,k}^v. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We can use the expression for the adjoint of the Wohlfahrt operator given in [22, §4 and §6]

$$T \langle Q \rangle^* = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{R}_m} \overline{v_Q^*(\beta)} f(\beta z)$$

where $\overline{v_Q^*(\beta)} = v_Q(\beta^*)$, $\beta = \beta_{a,b,d} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ and $\beta^* = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. The identification of the adjoints above with the right hand sides are thus easily done by evaluating $v_Q(\beta^*)$ (as in the proof of Proposition 4.1) and comparing with the corresponding factors in $T_{n,k}^v$, $T_{m,k}^{\bar{v}}$ and $T_{m,k}^v$ respectively. $\square$

Corollary 4.15. *If $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k)$ is an eigenfunction of all $T_{n,k}^v$ with $kn \equiv k \pmod{12}$ and has Fourier coefficients $c(l)$ then the quotients $\frac{1}{c(0)}c(l)$ are real for all l such that $k|12l$.*

4.1. The auxiliary operator Θ . The operator Θ is essentially the operator defined by Maass in [12, p. 181]. Θ interchanges the multiplier system with its conjugate and while Maass used Θ in connection with a real multiplier system we will compose it with operators $T_{m,k}^v$ for $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ to obtain Hecke-like operators preserving the multiplier system.

Let

$$E_k^+ = (z - \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{k}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad E_k^- = -(z - \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{k}{2}$$

be the Maass raising and lowering operators. Cf. e.g. [8, p. 369 and pp. 381-382] or [12, p. 188]. It is known that for any multiplier system v of weight k the operator $E_k^\pm$ is one-to-one and onto from $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k \pm 2, R)$ if $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} + R^2 \neq \mp \frac{k}{2} (1 \pm \frac{k}{2})$.

We now define $\mathcal{E}_k^- = E_{2-k}^- \circ \dots \circ E_{k-2}^- \circ E_k^-$ and $\mathcal{E}_{-k}^+ = E_{k-2}^+ \circ \dots \circ E_{-k+2}^+ \circ E_{-k}^+$. For $\lambda > \frac{1}{4}$ it is clear that $\mathcal{E}_k^-$ is a one-to-one and onto map from $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, -k, R)$ and that $\mathcal{E}_{-k}^+$ is one-to-one and onto in the other direction.

Let J denote the reflection in the imaginary axis, i.e. $Jf(z) = f(-\bar{z})$. Clearly J is an involution and by using Lemma 2.1 and it is also easy to see that J maps $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, -k, R)$ (cf. also [20, §2.4.1]).

Definition 4.16. We can now define the operator Θ as the composition of J and $\mathcal{E}_k^-$:

$$\Theta = J\mathcal{E}_k^-.$$

This operator is clearly one-to-one and onto from $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k, R)$ as well as from $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, \bar{v}, k, R)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$.

The following properties of Θ are now easily verified.

Lemma 4.17. *For any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, \frac{1}{4} + R^2)$ we have*

$$\Theta^2 f = \Lambda_{k,R} f,$$

where $\Lambda_{k,R}$ is given by (4).

Proof. The action of the Maass operators on a Fourier series is given by

$$E_k^\pm \left[W_{\frac{k}{2}\epsilon, iR}(y) e(x) \right] = \pm W_{\frac{k \pm 2}{2}\epsilon}(y) e(x) \times \begin{cases} -1, & \epsilon = \pm 1, \\ \left(\frac{k(k \pm 2)}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + R^2 \right), & \epsilon = \mp 1. \end{cases}$$

Using this formula it is clear that if $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k}(z)$ then

$$\Theta f = J \mathcal{E}_k^- f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_n c(-n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}^*(z),$$

where $\delta_n = 1$ if $n \geq 1$ and $\delta_n = \Lambda_{k,R}$ if $n \leq 0$. That

$$(10) \quad \Lambda_{k,R} = (-1)^k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{(k-2j)(k-2-2j)+1}{4} + R^2 \right)$$

is also given by the formula of theorem is easy to verify for all integers k , $1 \leq k \leq 11$. It is now easy to see that $\Theta^2 f = \Lambda_{k,R} f$. $\square$

Lemma 4.18. *The adjoint of Θ with respect to the Petersson inner product is given by*

$$\Theta^* = (-1)^k \Theta.$$

The formula of Theorem 3.1 is then easy to verify for all k . The lowering and raising operators are adjoints with respect to the Petersson inner product in the sense that $E_k^{-*} = E_{k-2}^+$ (cf. e.g. [3, pp. 135-136] and note that $E_k^+ = R_k$ while $E_k^- = -L_k$). It is clear that the adjoint of Θ is given by

$$\Theta^* = \mathcal{E}_{-k}^+ J$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{-k}^+$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{E}_k^-$. By direct verification it is now easy to see that

$$\Theta^* = (-1)^k \Theta.$$

Definition 4.19. For any positive integer m with $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ we define

$$\Theta_{m,k}^v = T_{m,k}^{\overline{v}} \Theta.$$

Lemma 4.20. *The operator Θ commutes with the Hecke operators, i.e. for $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$*

$$\Theta_{m,k}^v = T_{m,k}^{\overline{v}} \Theta = \Theta T_{m,k}^v.$$

Proof. By direct verification. $\square$

Lemma 4.21. $\Theta_{m,k}^v$ is self-adjoint for any positive integer m with $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$.

Proof. This follows clearly from the fact that $\Theta^* = (-1)^k \Theta$ and $T_{m,k}^{v*} = (-1)^k T_{m,k}^{\overline{v}}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.22. *Let m be a positive integer with $km \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ we can then define the Hecke-like operator $\Theta_{m,k}^v = T_{m,k}^{\overline{v}} \Theta$ on $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ be given by the Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z)$ then*

$$\Theta_{m,k}^v f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} d(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z),$$

where

$$d(n) = \sum_{0 < a \mid (m, n + \frac{k(m+1)}{12})} \chi_k(a) \delta_a c \left(-\frac{mn}{a^2} - \frac{k(m+a^2)}{12a^2} \right),$$

$$\delta_a = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{mn}{a^2} + \frac{k(m+a^2)}{12a^2} \geq 1, \\ \Lambda_{k,R}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $\Theta_{m,k}^v f = \mu_m f$ and $c(0) \neq 0$ then

$$(11) \quad \mu_m = \frac{1}{c(0)} \begin{cases} c \left(-k \frac{1+m}{12} \right), & (m, D) = 1, \text{ and} \\ c \left(-k \frac{1+m}{12} \right) + \chi_k(D) c \left(-k \frac{m+D^2}{12D^2} \right), & (m, D) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The formula for $d(n)$ is obtained by direct computation. For the eigenvalue, μ_m , a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 shows that $(m, \frac{k(m+1)}{12}) = (m, D)$ and D is necessarily prime since $k \in [1, 11] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.23. *For any positive integers m_1 and m_2 such that $km_1 \equiv km_2 \equiv -k \pmod{12}$*

$$\Theta_{m_1,k}^v \Theta_{m_2,k}^v = \Lambda_{k,R} \sum_{d \mid (m_1, m_2)} \chi_k(d) T_{\frac{m_1 m_2}{d^2}, k}^v.$$

We deduce that if f is an eigenform of $\Theta_{q,k}^v$ and all $T_{p,k}^v$ for all primes with $kp \equiv -kq \equiv k \pmod{12}$ it is an eigenform of all $T_{n,k}^v$ and all $\Theta_{m,k}^v$ with $kn \equiv -km \equiv k \pmod{12}$. If we denote the eigenvalues by λ_n and μ_m respectively we have

$$(12) \quad \mu_m \mu_n = \Lambda_{k,R} \sum_{d \mid (m, n)} \chi_k(d) \lambda_{\frac{mn}{d^2}}.$$

If $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k,R}(z)$ then, for all $km \equiv kn \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ with $(m, D) = (n, D) = 1$

$$c \left(-k \frac{m+1}{12} \right) c \left(-k \frac{n+1}{12} \right) = \Lambda_{k,R} c(0) \sum_{d \mid (m, n)} \chi_k(d) c \left(k \frac{mn - d^2}{12d^2} \right).$$

Proof. The first part is easily established by direct verification. The multiplicativity relation follows from Lemma 4.7 and 4.20 and (3) is now immediate by interchanging m with $k \frac{m+1}{12}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.24. *The family $\mathcal{T} = \left\{ T_{n,k}^v \right\}_{kn \equiv k \pmod{12}} \cup \left\{ \Theta_{m,k}^v \right\}_{km \equiv -k \pmod{12}}$ consists of self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k)$. Furthermore the operators in $\mathcal{T}$ also commute amongst themselves and with the weight k Laplacian Δ_k .*

Proof. The self-adjointness follows from Lemmas 4.14 and 4.21. That the operators commute with each other other follows from Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 4.20. Δ_k commutes with the Hecke operators due to the well-known fact that it commutes with the weight k slash-action. To verify this fact it is easiest to write Δ_k and the slash action in terms of z and $\bar{z}$ using $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right]$ and $e^{i \text{Arg}(cz+d)} = \left(\frac{cz+d}{\bar{c}\bar{z}+\bar{d}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. $\square$

A standard theorem from linear algebra about simultaneously diagonalization of self-adjoint linear operators (although normality is enough) now proves the following corollary. Together with Propositions 4.12 and 4.23 this concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.25. *There exist a basis of $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of all $T_{n,k}^v$ and $\Theta_{n,k}^v$ for $kn \equiv -km \equiv k \pmod{12}$.*

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section we will write down some examples of multiplicativity relations for various weights k , chosen so as to illustrate all relevant properties. Using algorithms for computing Maass waveforms with non-trivial multiplier systems as detailed in e.g. chapter two of [20] we also demonstrate some numerical evidence for these multiplicativity relations.

Any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, k, R)$ have a Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_n c(n) \mathcal{W}_{n,k}(z)$ and we use either the normalization $c(1) = 1$ or $c(0) = 1$. If nothing else is stated $c(1) = 1$ but in certain cases (e.g. $k = 6$) the normalization $c(0) = 1$ results in much more stable numerics. We will also use the standard notation that $c(x) = 0$ if x is not an integer.

Observe that this type of arithmetical normalization implies that the relative sizes of coefficients with positive and negative indices respectively differ with several orders of magnitude. This can most easily be seen in the relations like (3) relating on one side negative coefficients and on the other side positive coefficients. In such relations the factor $\sqrt{|\Lambda_{k,R}|}$ is clearly distinguishable as a good measure of the difference in magnitude.

Example 5.1. Let $k = 2$, then $(k, 12) = 2$ and $D = 1$. For $m \equiv -n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ we have

$$\lambda_m = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(\frac{m-1}{6}\right), \mu_n = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(-\frac{m+1}{6}\right), \text{ and } \Lambda_{2,R} = \left(\frac{1}{4} + R^2\right)^2.$$

For $m = 7$ and $n = 13$ we have $\lambda_{7,2} \lambda_{13,2} = \lambda_{91,2}$ or equivalently

$$c(1) c(2) = c(0) c(15).$$

For $m = n = 7$ we have $\lambda_{7,2}^2 = \lambda_{49,2} + \chi_2(7) \lambda_1$ or equivalently

$$c(1)^2 = c(0) [c(8) + c(0)].$$

For $m = 5$ and $n \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$ and $(5, n) = 1$ we have

$$\mu_{5,2} \mu_{n,2} = \Lambda_{k,R} \lambda_{5n,2},$$

or equivalently, using a change of variables to $l = \frac{n+1}{6}$:

$$(13) \quad c(1) c(-l) = c(0) \Lambda_{k,R} \left[c(5l-1) + c\left(\frac{l-1}{5}\right) \right],$$

Table 1 contains numerical values of Fourier coefficients for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 2.95645894117486)$. The third column of this table consists of numerical values of (13).

Example 5.2. Let $k = 3$, then $(k, 12) = 3$, $D = 1$. For $m \equiv -n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have

$$\lambda_{m,3} = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(\frac{m-1}{4}\right), \mu_{n,3} = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(-\frac{m+1}{4}\right), \text{ and } \Lambda_{3,R} = -R^2 (1 + R^2)^2.$$

For $m = n = 3 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ we get

$$\mu_{3,3}^2 = \Lambda_{3,R} (\lambda_{9,3} + \chi_3(3) \lambda_{1,3}),$$

or equivalently

$$c(-1)^2 = \Lambda_{3,R} c(0) [c(2) - c(0)].$$

In Propositions 4.1 and 4.22 one can compare any coefficient to compute eigenvalues λ_m or μ_m . Let $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then using the first coefficient gives the alternative expression

$$\lambda_{m,3} = \frac{1}{c(1)} \sum_{d|(m, \frac{5-m}{4})} \chi_3(d) c\left(\frac{m}{d^2} + \frac{k(m-d^2)}{12d^2}\right) = \frac{1}{c(1)} \left[c\left(\frac{5m-1}{4}\right) + c\left(\frac{m-5}{20}\right) \right].$$

Changing variables to $l = \frac{m-1}{4}$ we get the following relation for each positive integer l :

$$c(l) c(1) = c(0) \left[c(5l+1) + c\left(\frac{l-1}{5}\right) \right].$$

Table 5 contains numerical values of some of the above mentioned relations for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 3.31105967012734)$ normalized with $c(1) = 1$ and Fourier coefficients given in Table 2.

Example 5.3. Let $k = 5$, then $(k, 12) = 1$ and $D = 5$. For $m \equiv -n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ with $(m, D) = (n, D) = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{m,5} &= \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(5\frac{m-1}{12}\right), \mu_{n,3} = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(-5\frac{m+1}{12}\right), \text{ and} \\ \Lambda_{5,R} &= -R^2 (1+R^2)^2 (4+R^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = n = 13$ we have $\lambda_{13}^2 = \lambda_{169} + \chi_5(13) \lambda_1$ or equivalently

$$c(5)^2 = c(0) [c(70) + c(0)].$$

For $n = 11$ and $m \equiv -1 \pmod{12}$ we have

$$\mu_{11,5} \mu_{m,5} = \Lambda_{5,R} [\lambda_{11m} + \chi_k(11) \lambda_{\frac{m}{11}}],$$

where we also interpret λ_x as 0 unless x is a positive integer. Equivalently using change of coordinates to we have for all $l \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ with $(\frac{12l}{5} - 1, 5) = 1$:

$$c(-5) c(-l) = \Lambda_{5,R} c(0) \left[c(11l-5) - c\left(\frac{l-5}{11}\right) \right].$$

To illustrate relations involving the more complicated eigenvalues possible for $D > 1$ we consider $m = 35 \equiv -25 \pmod{60}$ and $n = 11 \equiv -1 \pmod{12}$. We have

$$\mu_{35,5} \mu_{11,5} = \Lambda_{5,R} \lambda_{385},$$

and here $\mu_{35} = \frac{1}{c(0)} [c(-5\frac{35+1}{12}) + \chi_5(5) c(-5\frac{35+25}{12 \cdot 25})] = \frac{1}{c(0)} [c(-15) + c(-1)]$ and $\lambda_{385} = \frac{1}{c(0)} [c(160) + c(6)]$. The corresponding coefficient relation is thus

$$[c(-15) + c(-1)] c(-5) = -R^2 (1+R^2)^2 (4+R^2)^2 c(0) [c(160) + c(6)].$$

As an example we consider $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 5, R)$ with $R = 3.6624068669081$ and coefficients given by Table 3. Some numerical examples of the above mentioned relation is found in Table 5.

Example 5.4. Let $k = 6$, then $(k, 12) = 6$ and $D = \frac{k}{(k, 12)} = 1$. This is a very special case since $k \equiv -k \pmod{12}$ and thus $\bar{v} = v$. For a positive odd integer m both types of Hecke operators can be defined and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{m,6} &= \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right), \mu_{m,6} = \frac{1}{c(0)} c\left(-\frac{m+1}{2}\right), \text{ and} \\ \Lambda_{R,6} &= \left(\frac{1}{4} + R^2\right)^2 \left(\frac{9}{4} + R^2\right)^2 \left(\frac{17}{4} + R^2\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

For $m = 5$, $n = 3$ we have $\lambda_{5,6}\lambda_{3,6} = \lambda_{15,6}$ and $\mu_{5,6}\mu_{3,6} = \Lambda_{6,R}\lambda_{15,6}$ or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}c(2)c(1) &= c(0)c(7), \text{ and} \\ c(-3)c(-2) &= \Lambda_{6,R}c(0)c(7).\end{aligned}$$

From this we see that

$$c(2)c(1) = c(-2)c(-3)\Lambda_{6,R}^{-1} = c(-2)c(-3).$$

For $m = 1$ we have $\mu_{1,6}^2 = \Lambda_{6,R}\lambda_{1,6}$ which implies that

$$c(-1)^2 = c(0)\Lambda_{6,R}.$$

For any positive integer l set $m = 1$ and $n = 2l - 1$ then $\mu_{1,6}\mu_{n,6} = \Lambda_{6,R}\lambda_{n,6}$ and hence

$$c(-1)c(-l) = c(0)\Lambda_{6,R}c\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) = c(-1)^2c(l-1),$$

which implies that

$$(14) \quad c(-l) = c(-1)c(l-1).$$

For a specific example consider $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 6, R)$ with $R = 3.70330780105981$, normalized so that $c(0) = 1$ and Fourier coefficients as given in Table 4. See also in particular the third column of this table where (14) is listed.

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Apostol, *Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
2. A. O. L. Atkin and J. Lehner, *Hecke operators on $\Gamma_0(M)$* , Math. Ann. **185** (1970), 134–160.
3. D. Bump, *Automorphic Forms and Representations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 55, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
4. F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 228, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR MR2112196 (2006f:11045)
5. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions. Vols. I, II*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
6. R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on Modular Forms*, Princeton University Press, 1962.
7. E. Hecke, *Über Modulformen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung. I, II.*, Math. Ann. (1937), no. 114, 1–28, 316–351.
8. D. A. Hejhal, *The Selberg Trace Formula for $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, Vol. 2*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1001, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
9. J. Hilgert, D. Mayer, and H. Movasati, *Transfer operators for $\Gamma_0(n)$ and the Hecke operators for the period functions of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **139** (2005), no. 1, 81–116. MR MR2155506 (2006c:11057)
10. M. I. Knopp, *Modular Functions in Analytic Number Theory*, Markham Publishing Company, 1970.
11. N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1993.

12. H. Maass, *Lectures on Modular Functions of One Complex Variable*, second ed., Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, vol. 29, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1983.
13. D. Mayer and T. Mühlenbruch, *From the transfer operator for geodesic flows on modular surfaces to the Hecke operators on period functions of $\Gamma_0(n)$* , Algebraic and topological dynamics, Contemp. Math., vol. 385, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 137–161. MR MR2180234
14. T. Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
15. H. Petersson, *Über automorphe Orthogonalfunktionen und die Konstruktion der automorphen Formen von positiver reeller Dimension*, Math. Ann. **127** (1954), 33–81. MR MR0060542 (15,686e)
16. R. A. Rankin, *Modular Forms and Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1976.
17. A. Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **20** (1956), 47–87. MR MR0088511 (19,531g)
18. G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Forms*, Princeton Univ Press, 1971.
19. ———, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 440–481.
20. F. Strömberg, *Computational aspects of maass waveforms*, Ph.D. thesis, Uppsala University, 2004.
21. J. H. van Lint, *Hecke operators and Euler products*, Drukkerij “Luctor et Emergo”, Leiden, 1957. MR MR0090616 (19,839f)
22. K. Wohlfahrt, *Über Operatoren Heckescher Art bei Modulformen reeller Dimension*, Math. Nachr. **16** (1957), 233–256.

INSTITUT FÜR THEORETISCHE PHYSIK, TU-CLAUSTHAL, ABTEILUNG STATISTISCHE PHYSIK
UND NICHTLINEARE DYNAMIK, ARNOLD-SOMMERFELD-STRASSE-6, 38678 CLAUSTHAL-ZELLERFELD,
GERMANY
E-mail address: fredrik@tu-clausthal.de

TABLE 1. Fourier coefficients for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 2, 2.95645894117486)$

n	$c(n)$	$c(-n)$	$ \frac{c(-1)c(-n)}{c(0)\Lambda_{2,R}(c(5n-1))} - 1 $
0	1.230701624761		
1	1.000000000000	18.203610985364	$1.98E - 12$
2	-1.471655144989	13.721717970930	$2.74E - 10$
3	0.013247843393	-3.974833500999	$8.49E - 10$
4	2.100340974458	11.112158057949	$3.49E - 09$
5	-0.322346202386	-14.371888713650	$2.07E - 09$
6	-1.246996804957	14.791246405247	$1.11E - 09$
7	-1.181658143432	-6.282086679505	$3.46E - 08$
8	-0.418156991484	-6.759700833281	$2.92E - 09$
9	2.510909902434	10.100901280765	$2.55E - 09$
10	0.082126224604	5.167895657723	$3.27E - 08$
11	1.165274980421	-21.767613847686	$1.02E - 09$
12	-0.247163744266	13.245951772026	$7.91E - 09$
13	-2.102307863443	11.149508424549	$5.87E - 09$
14	-0.727346882773	-14.481437911536	$2.05E - 08$
15	-1.195785484887	-1.591258609999	$3.37E - 07$
16	1.342209625858	0.195951447099	$3.40E - 06$
17	-0.396934741247	9.471610827564	$5.46E - 08$
18	1.423140585656	7.748951323143	$1.16E - 07$
19	2.033391713377	-21.084067140859	$6.90E - 08$
20	0.661999637495	-3.229728578857	$3.19E - 07$
21	-1.566036852675	12.863053232917	$2.52E - 07$
22	0.010764537313	12.313519090285	$9.91E - 07$
23	-0.162775774047	-8.583584980476	$1.98E - 06$
24	-2.629883360741	-16.408231323813	$7.23E - 09$
25	0.353626555761	10.101583676954	$2.92E - 07$

TABLE 2. Fourier coefficients for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 3, 3.31105967012734)$

n	$c(n)$	$c(-n)$	$ \frac{c(n)c(1)}{c(0)(c(5n+1)+c(\frac{n-1}{5}))} - 1 $
0	1.531512741936		
1	1.000000000000	8.639530686828	$4.32E - 10$
2	-1.574741762999	5.624519754411	$2.12E - 10$
3	1.103109670076	-2.889141766985	$2.21E - 10$
4	1.290948121024	5.641174540769	$2.03E - 10$
5	-2.022238297269	-7.222396876842	$9.59E - 11$
6	-8.785635544767	5.995198221818	$2.93E - 10$
7	1.306633982800	-2.438624530260	$3.38E - 10$
8	1.038761242319	-5.703190028137	$1.90E - 11$
9	1.226237817255	3.672525602758	$4.88E - 10$
10	-2.479988645388	6.222834185528	$2.77E - 10$
11	-1.028226354383	-1.026123492546	$6.04E - 11$
12	2.149922429075	7.860915325414	$1.74E - 09$
13	-1.652728768231	7.282463672083	$3.97E - 10$
14	2.596738601725	-1.886462768742	$7.84E - 11$
15	2.661735950372	-1.538694327170	$2.95E - 11$
16	7.202745626038	-5.783279441143	$1.78E - 10$
17	-2.155511932252	3.230287421009	$4.81E - 10$
18	-1.550283931173	9.405825626012	$2.79E - 10$
19	6.762558452563	-4.956130358085	$1.24E - 10$

TABLE 3. Fourier coefficients for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 5, 3.6624068669081)$

n	$c(n)$	$c(-n)$
0	1.836234282360E + 00	
1	1.000000000000E + 00	1.887653985658E + 03
2	-1.307103934737E + 00	1.044616926030E + 03
3	1.727292605687E + 00	-9.560107355852E + 02
4	-8.244874356696E - 01	1.510043954372E + 03
5	-1.357966916140E + 00	-1.555662019170E + 03
6	1.892760722402E + 00	3.969836744868E + 02
7	7.812520919140E - 01	1.363335177951E + 03
8	-2.229697105219E + 00	-1.395993779667E + 03
9	-1.107067514206E - 01	-1.001098116897E + 03
10	4.683894465654E - 01	2.325666345534E + 03
11	1.827070532237E + 00	-2.505722786009E + 02
12	2.758640358235E - 01	-1.190677542244E + 03
13	-2.829618456564E + 00	-4.463696112166E + 02
14	1.075820859399E - 01	1.866263836513E + 02
15	7.874795758404E - 02	2.369156369887E + 03
16	1.583870281605E + 00	-8.471984859447E + 02
17	1.047442965873E + 00	-1.838202255925E + 03
18	-7.395390192267E - 01	-7.508808946066E + 01
19	-3.704603525272E - 02	4.924426686616E + 02

TABLE 4. Fourier coefficients for $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma, v, 6, 3.70330780105981)$

n	$c(n)$	$c(-n)$	$ \frac{c(-n)}{c(n-1)*c(-1)} - 1 $
0	1.00000000000000E+00		
1	5.384467700193E-01	4.450801589309E+03	
2	-5.994237858271E-01	2.396519787896E+03	2.01E-08
3	8.803713414082E-01	-2.667916388933E+03	1.90E-08
4	-7.100750762253E-01	3.918358240227E+03	1.91E-08
5	-2.098755988687E-01	-3.160403332781E+03	1.74E-08
6	1.016564356574E+00	-9.341146729958E+02	2.57E-08
7	-3.227578035206E-01	4.524526338100E+03	1.86E-08
8	-1.094555168655E+00	-1.436530957159E+03	8.55E-09
9	8.087258779256E-01	-4.871647980231E+03	1.97E-08
10	4.740331040530E-01	3.599478507994E+03	2.37E-08
11	2.179209189835E-02	2.109827321226E+03	1.34E-08
12	-6.406911244172E-01	9.699225130255E+01	2.68E-07
13	-9.207844021060E-01	-2.851589119538E+03	1.57E-08
14	1.219277100707E+00	-4.098228742741E+03	1.52E-08
15	5.223989524614E-01	5.426760591533E+03	2.47E-08
16	-1.130068391137E-01	2.325094105392E+03	7.54E-09
17	-5.277155225487E-01	-5.029710458535E+02	5.31E-08
18	-8.607212197260E-01	-2.348757106541E+03	8.55E-09
19	5.473657942974E-01	-3.830899448460E+03	1.98E-08
20	-2.046863808710E-01	2.436216634091E+03	3.57E-08
21	1.294360490006E+00	-9.110184900836E+02	2.28E-08
22	4.256358907948E-01	5.760941791163E+03	1.13E-08
23	-1.407032267197E+00	1.894420946282E+03	2.48E-08
24	-2.249462990120E-01	-6.262421586507E+03	2.16E-08
25	-5.893596950834E-01	-1.001191355161E+03	1.00E-08
26	8.136637358514E-01	-2.623123107600E+03	1.53E-08

TABLE 5. Various Multiplicative Relations

$k = 2, R = 2.95645894117486$		$k = 3, R = 3.31105967012734$	
$ c(1)c(2) - c(0)c(15) $	$= 5.9E-09$	$ \frac{c(-1)^2}{c(0)\Lambda_{6,R}[c(2)-c(0)]} - 1 $	$= 7.8E-12$
$ c(1)^2 - c(0)(c(8) + c(0)) $	$= 3.7E-10$	$ \frac{c(2)c(1)}{c(0)c(11)} - 1 $	$= 2.1E-10$
$ \frac{c(-1)c(-1996)}{c(0)\Lambda_{2,R}[c(9979)+c(399)]} - 1 $	$= 4.7E-08$	$ \frac{c(3)c(1)}{c(0)c(16)} - 1 $	$= 2.2E-10$
$k = 5, R = 3.6624068669081$		$k = 6, R = 3.70330780105981$	
$ c(5)^2 - c(0)(c(70) + c(0)) $	$= 5.4E-08$	$ c(2)c(1) - c(0)c(7) $	$= 2.1E-09$
$ \frac{[c(-15)+c(-1)]c(-5)}{\Lambda_{5,R}c(0)[c(160)+c(6)]} - 1 $	$= 7.7E-07$	$ c(-1)^2/\Lambda_{6,R} - 1 $	$= 3.6E-08$
$ \frac{c(-5)c(-5)}{\Lambda_{5,R}(c(50)-c(0))} - 1 $	$= 2.1E-08$	$ \frac{c(-139)}{c(138)c(-1)} - 1 $	$= 2.3E-07$